



TRANSELEC S.A. AND SUBSIDIARY

MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

INTRODUCTION

During the first nine months of 2011, Transelec S.A. and subsidiary recorded net income of MCh\$ 35,610 (MCh\$ 42,559 in 2010), which is 16.3% lower than the comparison period. Operating revenues totaled MCh\$ 139,015, which is 5.6% higher than the comparison period (MCh\$ 131,611). EBITDA for the period was MCh\$ 112,042, with an EBITDA over revenues margin of 80.6% (83% in 2010). The Company's non-operating profit and income taxes for the 2011 period is a charge of MCh\$ 44,471 (MCh\$ 32,169 in 2010). This higher non-operating loss can be mainly explained by increased financial expenses (MCh\$ 22,284 in the first nine months of 2011 compared to MCh\$ 19,516 in the comparison period), and by a higher loss from inflation-indexed assets and liabilities during 2011 (MCh\$ 17,688), in contrast to the loss of only MCh\$ 10,770 recorded in the first nine months of 2010.

In January 2011, Transelec issued bonds on the Chilean market for a total of UF 7 million (L series for UF 2.5 million at 3.65% per annum, M series for UF 1.5 million at 4.05% per annum and N series for UF 3.0 million at 3.95% per annum) to raise funds in advance to pay the principal on its Yankee bonds at maturity; the last coupon payment came due April 15, 2011. Additionally, during the month of September an additional UF 1.9 million were placed for M series bonds (4.05% annually)

Furthermore, in March local banks granted the Company a committed line of credit for UF 3 million intended to finance expenditures for its investment plan.

Transelec S.A. and subsidiary have prepared their financial statements as of September 30, 2011 in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), which have been adopted wholly, explicitly and without reserves. Figures in this management discussion and analysis are expressed in millions of Chilean pesos, which is the functional currency of Transelec S.A.

1. INCOME STATEMENT ANALYSIS

Items	September 2011 MCh\$	September 2010 MCh\$	Variation 2011/2010 %
Operating Revenues	139.015	131.611	5,6%
Toll sales	131.134	126.840	3,4%
Work and services	7.882	4.770	65,2%
Operating costs	-53.004	-50.502	5,0%
Fixed costs	-22.506	-17.104	31,6%
Depreciation	-30.498	-33.398	-8,7%
Administraton and sales expenses	-5.930	-6.379	-7,0%
Operating Income	80.081	74.729	7,2%
Financial Income	196	185	0,6%
Other Financial Income	1.892	1.438	31,5%
Financial Costs	-22.284	-19.516	14,2%
Foreign exchange differences, net	-952	984	-196,7%
Gain (loss) for indexed assets and liabilities	-17.688	-10.770	64,2%
Other income	973	632	53,9%
Non-Operating Income	-37.863	-27.047	40,0%
Income before Income Taxes	42.217	47.682	-11,5%
Income tax	-6.608	-5.122	29,0%
Net Income	35.610	42.559	-16,3%
EBITDA	112.042	109.241	2,6%

EBITDA= Net Income + abs(Income tax) + abs(Depreciation) + abs(Non-Operating Income) + abs(Other Gains) + Leasing interest.

a) Operating Income

In the first nine months of 2011, sales reached MCh\$ 139,015 (MCh\$ 131,611 in the same period in 2010), which is an increase of 5.6%. It is important to note that revenues are mainly obtained from sales of the transmission capacity of the Company's facilities, but also include sales of services related to its principal activity. During the first nine months of 2011, the Company provided engineering and other services that accounted for 5.67% of total sales; in the comparison period, such other services only amounted to 3.62% of total revenue.

In 2011, cost of sales reached MCh\$ 53,004 (MCh\$ 50,502 in 2010). These costs are primarily related to maintaining and operating the Company's facilities. In percentage terms, 57.5% of the Company's costs correspond to depreciation of property, plant and equipment (66.1% in 2010), while the remaining 42.5% (33.9% in 2010) consists of personnel costs, supplies and services contracted.

Administrative and selling expenses amounted to MCh\$ 5,930 (MCh\$ 6,379 in 2010) and consist primarily of personnel expenses and expenses for contracted work, supplies and services (95% in 2011 and 94% in 2010), and depreciation (5% in 2011 and 6% in 2010).

b) Non-Operating Income

Net income recorded in the first nine months of 2011 was negatively impacted by the non-operating income of MCh\$ 37,863 (MCh\$ 27,047 in 2010), which was generated mainly by financial expenses of MCh\$ 22,284 (MCh\$ 19,516 in 2010). This increase in financial expenses is attributable primarily to interest accrued in 2010 being partially offset by the reversal of the



difference between the book value of the series B1 and B2 bonds, prepaid in March 2010, and the value actually paid, resulting in a credit for that reversal of MCh\$ 6,455 during 2010. Another important item that affected the non-operating loss recorded during the first nine months of 2011 was a charge from inflation-indexed assets and liabilities of MCh\$ 17,688 (compared to a charge of MCh\$ 10,770 in 2010).

2. BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS

Items	September 2011 MCh\$	December 2010 MCh\$	Variation 2011/2010 %
Current assets	131.360	79.312	65,6%
Non-current assets	1.774.119	1.676.933	5,8%
Total Assets	1.905.479	1.756.245	8,5%
Current liabilities	82.491	183.111	-55,0%
Non current liabilities	901.227	653.617	37,9%
Equity	921.762	919.517	0,2%
Total liabilities & Equity	1.905.479	1.756.244	8,5%

The increase in current assets between September 2011 and December 2010 is due primarily to the placement of the M series bonds for UF 1.9 million on September 23, 2011.

The decrease in current liabilities between December 2010 and September 2011 is due to the recognition of the Company's US\$ 245 million Yankee bond and its related swap in current liabilities in December 2010 (they matured in April 2011).

VALUE OF PRINCIPAL OPERATING PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Assets	September 2011 MCh\$	December 2010 MCh\$	Variation 2011/2010 %
Land	20.460	19.949	2,6%
Building, Infraestructure, works in progress	857.812	851.299	0,8%
Machinery and equipment	394.288	390.316	1,0%
Other fixed assets	1.913	1.891	1,2%
Depreciation (less)	-191.328	-168.902	13,3%
Total	1.083.146	1.094.553	-1,0%

OUTSTANDING DEBT

Debt	Currency or index	Interest rate	Type of rate	Amount in original currency (million) Unpaid capital	
				September 2011	December 2010
Yankee bond	US\$	7,88%	Fixed	-.-	245
Series C bond	UF	3,50%	Fixed	6,0	6,0
Series D bond	UF	4,25%	Fixed	13,5	13,5
Series E bond	UF	3,90%	Fixed	3,3	3,3
Series F bond	CLP	5,70%	Fixed	33.600,0	33.600,0
Series H bond	UF	4,80%	Fixed	3,0	3,0
Series I bond	UF	3,50%	Fixed	1,5	1,5
Series K bond	UF	4,60%	Fixed	1,6	1,6
Series L bond	UF	3,65%	Fixed	2,5	-.-
Series M bond	UF	4,05%	Fixed	3,4	-.-
Series N bond	UF	3,95%	Fixed	3,0	-.-

3. MAIN CASH FLOWS FOR THE PERIOD

Items	September 2011 MCh\$	September 2010 MCh\$	Variation 2011/2010 %
Cash flow arising from (used in) operating activities	87.702	83.326	5,3%
Cash flow arising from (used in) investing activities	-75.279	-71.272	5,6%
Cash flow arising from (used in) financing activities	9.783	-82.718	-111,8%
Net increase (decrease) of cash and cash equivalent	22.206	-70.663	-131,4%
Cash and cash equivalent at the beginning of the period	35.495	137.896	-74,3%
Cash and cash equivalent at the end of the period	57.702	67.233	-14,2%

In the first nine months of 2011, financing activities generated positive net cash flows of MCh\$ 9,783 due primarily to placement of the bonds of M series UF 1,9 million on September 23, 2011. In 2010, financing activities generated negative cash flows of MCh\$ 82,718 as a result of payments made on the series B bonds totaling UF 3.04 million.

In the first nine months of 2011, investing activities generated net negative cash flows of MCh\$ 75,279 primarily due to capital expenditures. In the comparison period, investing activities generated negative cash flows of MCh\$ 71,272, as a result of net additions to property, plant and equipment.

The final balance of cash and cash equivalents as of September 30, 2011 amounted to MCh\$ 57,702, from an opening balance of MCh\$ 35,495. As of September 30, 2010, the final balance of cash and cash equivalents amounted to MCh\$ 67,233, from an opening balance of MCh\$ 137,896.

In addition, in order to ensure funds are available to cover working capital needs, the Company has secured the following committed lines of credit. During the third trimester of 2011 there is a withdrawal of US\$25 million from CorpBanca's credit line.



Bank	Amount (up to)	Maturity	Type of Credit
Scotiabank Sudamericano	US\$15.000.000	06-11-2011	Working Capital
DnBNor	US\$30.000.000	28-02-2012	Working Capital
Scotiabank Sudamericano	US\$15.000.000	30-05-2012	Working Capital
CorpBanca	US\$25.000.000	21-12-2011	Working Capital
Scotiabank-Corpbanca	UF 3.000.000	03-03-2012	Project Financing

Committed Line for Capital Investments:

In March 2011, the banks Scotiabank-Sudamericano and Corpbanca granted the Company a committed line of credit for UF 3 million that will cover disbursements for investments in transmission assets during the year. The Company may draw down on this line for a period of 1 year and the drawn down funds will be amortized over a maximum of 7 years.

4. RATIOS

Limit	Covenant	September 2011	December 2010	Status
> 1,5	FNO/Financial Expenses (**)	5,23	5,27	OK
< 0,7	Capitalization Ratio (***)	0,49	0,45	OK
> ThUF15,000	Shareholder's Equity (in ThUF)	43.008	44.020	OK

(*) FNO = Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities + absolute value of financial expenses + absolute value of income tax expense; this ratio is a test of distribution of restricted payments.

(**) Total capitalization = Total debt + Non-controlling interest + Equity

(***) Shareholders' equity = Total equity attributable to equity holders of the parent + Accumulated amortization of goodwill .
Accumulated amortization of goodwill from June 30, 2006 to September 30, 2011 amounts to MCh\$ 24,970.

INDICATORS	September 2011	December 2010	Variation 2011/2010
Profitability			
Shareholders' Equity profitability *	5,15%	6,07%	-15,2%
Assets profitability *	2,49%	3,18%	-21,7%
Operating assets profitability *	9,86%	8,16%	20,8%
Earnings per share (\$) *	47,47952	55,82505	-14,9%
Liquidity & Indebtedness			
Current Ratio	1,59	0,43	269,8%
Acid-Test Ratio	1,59	0,43	267,7%
Debt to Equity	1,07	0,91	17,6%
% Short term debt	8,39	21,88	-61,7%
% Log term debt	91,61	78,12	17,3%
Financial expenses coverage	5,03	5,60	-10,2%

* Yearly basis

The increase in the Company's liquidity ratios is due to the Yankee bond and associated swap (classified in current liabilities in December 2010) maturing and being replaced by L, M and N series bonds in January 2011 (currently classified in non-current liabilities), and the additional placement of the M series during the month of September 2011.



5. MARKET ANALYSIS

Transelec S.A. carries out its activities in the electricity market, which has been divided into three different sectors: generation, transmission and distribution. The generation sector includes companies that are dedicated to generating electricity that will subsequently be used throughout the country by end users. The purpose of the distribution sector is to carry electricity to the physical location where each end user will use the electricity. Lastly, the primary goal of the transmission sector is to transport the generated electricity from where it is produced (electrical power plants) to the “points of entry” of the distribution company networks or those of large end users.

Transelec's business mainly centers on commercializing the capacity of its facilities to transport and transform electricity, in accordance with established quality standards. The transmission system of Transelec S.A. and its subsidiary, which stretches between Arica in Chile's 1st Region to the Island of Chiloé in the 10th Region, encompasses the majority of the trunk transmission lines and substations in the Central Interconnected System (SIC) and the Great North Interconnected System (SING). This transmission system transports the electricity that supplies approximately 99% of Chile's population. The Company owns 100% of the 500 kV electricity transport lines, 45% of the 220 kV lines and 94% of the 154 kV lines.

The legal framework that governs the electrical transmission business in Chile is contained in DFL No. 4/2006, which establishes the modified, coordinated and systemized text of Decree with Force of Law No. 1 from the Ministry of Mining, issued in 1982; and the General Electricity Services Law. (DFL(M) No. 1/82) and its subsequent modifications, including Law 19,940 (Short Law I) published on March 13, 2004, Law 20,018 (Short Law II) published on May 19, 2005 and Law 20,257 (Generation with Non-Conventional Renewable Energy Resources) published April 1, 2008. These standards are complemented by the Regulations of the General Electricity Services Law of 1997 (Supreme Decree No. 327/97 from the Ministry of Mining) and its respective modifications; the Regulations to Establish the Structure, Functioning and Financing of Load Dispatch Centers (Supreme Decree No. 291/2007) and also the Technical Standard on Reliability and Service Quality (Exempt Ministerial Resolution No. 40 of May 16, 2005) and its subsequent modifications.

Law 19,940, also called Short Law I, modified the General Electricity Services Law of 1982 in matters relating to electricity transmission activity, subdividing the transmission network into three types of systems: trunk transmission, sub-transmission and additional transmission. It also establishes that electricity transmission—both by trunk transmission as well as subtransmission systems—is considered a public service and is subject to regulated tariffs.

Finally, Law 19,940 established that the new payment regime for the use of trunk facilities would become effective as of March 13, 2004 and determined a transitory period that was in effect until the first trunk transmission decree was issued. Thus, from 2004 to 2007, collection and payment for use of transmission facilities was carried out provisionally using subsequent recalculations in accordance with legal and regulatory standards in effect until Short Law I was published. On January 15, 2008, a decree from the Ministry of Economy, Development and Reconstruction was published that set the new Investment Value (VI), the Annuity of the Investment Value (AVI), the Operation, Maintenance and Administration Costs (COMA) and the Annual Transmission Value per Segment (VATT) for trunk facilities for the period from March 14, 2004 to December 31, 2010, as well as the indexation formulas applicable during that period. New rates for the trunk transmission system began being applied in April 2008 and during 2008 trunk income was recalculated for the period from March 13, 2004 to December 31, 2007. The determination of trunk facilities and their Annual Transmission Value (VATT) is updated every four years using an internationally-tendered study. The second Trunk Transmission Study was conducted in 2010 to set tariffs for the 2011-2014 period. As of the date of this management discussion and analysis, the decree setting trunk tariffs for the 2011-2014 period has not yet been issued. In the meantime, the tariffs set in decree 207/2008 will



continue to be provisionally applied. The difference between amounts invoiced using these provisional tariffs and the definitive values ultimately established will be recalculated.

Decree No. 320 from the Ministry of Economy, Development and Reconstruction, which sets tariffs for subtransmission facilities, was published in the Official Gazette on January 9, 2009 and the new tariffs began to be applied on January 14, 2009 and will be in effect until October 31, 2010. The new subtransmission tariffs that will be in effect from November 2010 to October 2014 shall be set by the Ministry of Energy based on valuation studies on subtransmission facilities that began during 2010. As of the date of this management discussion and analysis, the decree setting subtransmission tariffs from November 2011 to October 2014 has not yet been issued. In the meantime, the tariffs set in decree 320/2009 will continue to be provisionally applied. The difference between amounts invoiced using these provisional tariffs and the definitive values ultimately established will be recalculated.

6. MARKET RISK FACTORS

Due to the nature of the electrical market and the legislation and standards that regulate this sector, the Company is not exposed to significant risks in developing its principal business. However, the following risk factors should be mentioned and considered:

Regulatory Framework

The laws governing the electricity transmission business in Chile were amended by the enactment of Law 19,940, referred to as Short Law I, published March 13, 2004.

Decree 207, published January 15, 2008, established, among other matters, the Annual Transmission Value per Segment (VATT for its Spanish language acronym) and its indexation formulas for the four-year period from 2007 to 2010, as well as the conditions to be applied to determine payments for transmission services along trunk transmission systems. The provisions of this decree define a set of previously pending matters that allow trunk facility owners to receive VATT for their facilities. The second Trunk Transmission Study was conducted in 2010 to set tariffs and indexation formulas for the period from January 2011 to December 2014. The results of this study will be applicable during the second half of 2011 once the following has been completed: a public hearing, a technical report from the National Energy Commission (CNE for its Spanish language acronym) and presentations before the Panel of Experts.

In the case of subtransmission, Decree No. 320 of the Ministry of Economy, Development and Reconstruction, published in the Official Gazette on January 9, 2009, set the subtransmission tariffs and indexation formulas that were applied beginning January 14, 2009. During 2010, Subtransmission Studies were conducted to serve as the basis for setting tariffs and indexation formulas for the period between November 2010 and October 2014. The CNE will issue its Technical Report during the first half of 2011 and the Panel of Experts will then resolve any potential discrepancies and issue a decree containing the new subtransmission tariffs, which will be applied retroactively as of November 1, 2010. Issuance date of this document has not yet determined the decree subtransmission rates for this period in November 2010 - October 2014, while both continue to apply provisionally the rates fixed by decree 320/2009. The difference between amounts invoiced using these provisional tariffs and the definitive values ultimately established will be recalculated.

Regulations that will ultimately govern many aspects of both trunk transmission and subtransmission activities, which are currently incorporated in the respective tariff decrees, are pending enactment.

Operating Risks

Although the Company's management believes it has adequate risk coverage, in line with industry practices, it cannot guarantee the sufficiency of its insurance policy coverage for



certain operating risks to which it is exposed, including forces of nature, damages to transmission facilities, on-the-job accidents and equipment failure. Any of these events could negatively affect the Company's financial statements.

Application of Environmental Standards and/or Policies

The operations of Transelec are governed by Law No. 19,300, Chile's Environmental Bases ("Environmental Law"), enacted in 1994, which was recently modified in 2010. The Environmental Law requires entities that develop projects involving high voltage transmission lines and electricity substations to submit these projects to the Environmental Impact Assessment System (SEIA for its Spanish language acronym) and file Environmental Impact Studies (EIA for its Spanish language acronym) or Environmental Impact Statements (DIA for its Spanish language acronym), as appropriate, for any future project or activity that is likely to have environmental impacts, and to file them with the new Environmental Assessment Service.

As indicated above, the Environmental Law has been modified and has led to changes in environmental institutions in Chile, creating new instruments for environmental management or modifying existing instruments. As a result, Transelec must adapt to these new environmental requirements. These recent modifications, among other matters, created a new institutional structure comprised of: (i) the Ministry of the Environment; (ii) the Council of Ministers on Sustainability; (iii) the Environmental Assessment Service; and (iv) the Superintendency of the Environment, institutions that are charged with regulating, assessing and supervising activities and projects with environmental impact. These new institutions replaced the National Environmental Commission (CONAMA) and the Regional Environmental Commissions and are fully operational with the exception of: (i) supervision by and ability to issue sanctions of the Superintendency of the Environment, which is conditional on the forthcoming creation of the Environmental Courts; and (ii) new requirements for EIA and DIA and new powers given to environmental institutions, which will be applied via Regulations that have yet to be reviewed by Chile's Office of the Comptroller.

Despite the fact that Transelec complies with the requirements of the Environmental Law, it cannot ensure that filings (EIA or DIA) will be approved by government authorities, or that potential public opposition will not generate delays or modifications in the proposed projects, or that the laws and regulations will not change or be interpreted in a manner that could negatively affect the Company's operations and plans, since these new institutions have recently begun to operate.

Delays in Construction of New Transmission Facilities

The success of the program for extending the trunk transmission network and building new facilities will depend on numerous factors, including financing cost and availability. Although Transelec has experience with large-scale construction projects, construction of new facilities could be negatively affected by factors commonly associated with such projects including delays in obtaining regulatory authorizations, scarcity of equipment, materials or labor, etc. Any of these factors could cause delays in the partial or total completion of the capital investment program, and could increase the costs of the projects.

Technological Changes

Transelec is compensated for investments it makes in electrical transmission facilities through an annual valuation of the existing facilities (AVI), which is performed every four years using current market prices. Any important technological changes in the equipment at its facilities could lower this valuation, which could in turn prevent recovery of part of the investments made.

Foreign Exchange Risk

The following factors expose Transelec to foreign exchange risk:

- The functional currency of its subsidiary Transelec Norte is the US dollar.
- Transelec carries out diverse transactions in US dollars (awarding construction contracts, importing, etc.).



- Transelec has a foreign exchange forward to sell dollars in order to cover the risk of future dollar-denominated income. Transelec also has a forward with a related company to finance its subsidiary's dollar-denominated assets.

Exchange rate exposure is managed using a policy that involves fully hedging the Company's net balance sheet exposure using diverse instruments such as: US dollar positions, forward contracts and cross currency swaps.

The following table details assets and liabilities denominated in US dollars and Chilean pesos as of each period end:

In million pesos	September 2011		December 2010	
	Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
Dollar (amounts associated with balance sheet items)	200	3.582	98.453	100.717
Dollar (amounts associated with income statement items)	0	28.089	-,-	26.677
Chilean peso	1.779.899	861.328	1.655.610	733.826

(*) Indexation polynomials for the Company's revenue should be temporarily applied so that, in the short term, they differ from long-term indexation. In order to ensure that short-term indexation is consistent with long-term indexation, the Company periodically (every six months) sells a percentage of its revenue fixed in dollars using income protection forwards. These forwards are considered income hedges and, therefore, changes in their value are recorded in other reserves within shareholders' equity until realized. Once realized, they are classified in operating income.

EXCHANGE RATE (observed dollar)

Month	Average 2011 (\$)	Last day 2011 (\$)	Average 2010 (\$)	Last day 2010 (\$)
January	489,44	483,32	500,66	531,75
February	475,69	475,63	532,56	529,69
March	479,65	482,08	523,16	526,29
April	471,32	460,04	520,62	520,99
May	467,73	467,31	533,21	529,23
June	469,41	471,13	536,67	543,09
July	462,94	455,91	531,72	522,36
August	466,79	465,66	509,32	499,26
September	483,69	515,14	493,93	485,23
Period Average	474,07	475,14	520,21	520,88

The indexation formulas, applied twice yearly, that are incorporated into toll contracts and subtransmission fees, as well as those applied monthly for regulated trunk income, take into account variations in the value of the facilities and of operating, maintenance and administrative costs. In general, those indexation formulas take into consideration variations in the international prices of equipment, materials and local labor.

Credit Risk

Credit risk for receivables from electricity transmission activity is historically very limited given the reduced number of customers, their risk ratings and the short collections term (less than 30 days).



However, Transelec's income is highly concentrated in a small number of customers, which are detailed in the following chart:

Billing	Sep-11 MM\$	Sep-10 MM\$
Endesa Group	70.417	61.531
AES Gener Group	10.697	934
Colbún Group	11.141	19.737
Others	46.760	49.409
Total	139.015	131.611
% Concentration	66,36%	62,46%

Income from these companies will generate a large part of the Company's future cash flows and, therefore, a substantial change in their assets, financial condition and/or operating income could negatively affect the Company.

During the last quarter some specific solvency issues have been observed in some of the CDEC-SIC participants.

In terms of the Company's credit risk associated with financial assets (time deposits, fixed-return mutual funds and sell-back agreements), its treasury policy establishes certain limits on a particular institution's exposure; such limits depend on the risk rating and capital of each institution. Likewise, for investments in mutual funds, only funds with a risk rating qualify.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk of the Company not satisfying a need for cash or debt payment upon maturity. Liquidity risk also includes the risk of not being able to liquidate assets in a timely manner at a reasonable price.

In order to guarantee that Transelec is able to quickly react to investment opportunities and pay its obligations by their maturity dates, in addition to its cash balances and short-term receivables, the Company has committed lines of credit for working capital for US\$ 85 million. As of September 30, 2011, US\$ 25 million has been drawn from these lines, which are expected to be renewed upon maturity.

In addition, beginning in March 2011, the Company has access to a committed line of credit for UF 3 million that will cover disbursements for investments in transmission assets during the year.

The Company is exposed to risks associated with indebtedness, including refinancing risk when its debt matures. These risks are lessened by using long-term debt and appropriately structuring their maturities over time.

The following table outlines capital amortizations for the Company's financial liabilities according to their maturity as of September 30, 2011 and 2010:

In million pesos	0 to 1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	5 to 10 years	More than 10 years	Total
September 30, 2011	0	0	193.095	131.092	493.780	817.967
December 31, 2010	123.346	0	136.356	125.200	384.298	769.201



Risk associated to the settlement of trunk transmission system tariff revenues

According to Decree N°4/20.018 from the Ministry of Economy, Fomentation and Reconstruction, in its articles 81, 101, 104 and 106, and complementary rules, Transelec has the right to perceive on a provisory basis the real tariff income (IT for its name in Spanish) of the trunk transmission system generated for every period. In order to get their own revenues set up in the first paragraph of article N°101 of the above mentioned Decree N°4/20.018, the real tariff income perceived on a provisory basis must be settled by Transelec according to the repayment schedule prepared by the respective CDEC (Center of Economic Dispatch of Charge) through the collection or payment to the different companies owner of generation facilities.

Transelec could face the risk of not opportunely collect the IT that some of the companies owners of generation facilities should pay as set up in the repayment schedule of CDEC, which may temporarily affect the liquidity situation of the company. In this sense, and in the opinion of the company, the clearing house work being done by Transelec in respect of the above-mentioned collection consists not in the collection of values for its own benefit, but in the mere collection and transfer to third parties of valuated superavits and deficits which are absolutely not related the Company, with exceptions of the expected tariff incomes.

On September 13, 2011 the company Campanario Generación S.A. was declared in bankruptcy and has not paid to Transelec S.A. as of September 30, 2011 the amount of ThCh\$6,345,762 for the concepts of tolls and tariff incomes. According to the legal and regulatory background hold by the company, it is considered there are no indications to recognize that the outstanding receivables related to tariff incomes evidence an impairment of them. Therefore, Transelec S.A. has recorded a bad debt provision amounting Ch\$1,026,284 corresponding to receivable to concepts different to tariff incomes and at the date of the presentation of these financial statements, there is no certainty that the company will collect this amount.

Interest Rate Risk

The Company's assets consist principally of property, plant and equipment and long-lived intangible assets. As a result, financial liabilities used to finance such assets consist mainly of long-term debt at fixed interest rates. This debt is recorded in the balance sheet at amortized cost.

The objective of interest rate risk management is to achieve a balanced debt structure, decrease the impact on financial costs due to interest rate variations and, in that way, reduce volatility in the income statement.

However, increases in inflation in Chile could impact the cost of UF-denominated debt and, therefore, the Company's non-operating income. These impacts are mitigated by the Company's income, which is also partially indexed to local inflation using indexation polynomials.

The Company possesses mercantile current accounts with related companies denominated in Chilean pesos and US dollars that have a fixed interest rate. Therefore, the Company believes that its income is not exposed to risk from changes in market interest rates.